

made a claim for damages to their home under a homeowner's insurance policy with Allstate Fire And Casualty Insurance Company.

1.2 Plaintiffs served Defendant Allstate Fire And Casualty Insurance Company and Tina Johnson ("Allstate") with Plaintiffs' Original Petition and process on July 18, 2016, by certified mail through its registered agent, CT Corporation System.

1.3 Plaintiffs served Defendant Tina Johnson ("Johnson") with Plaintiffs' Original Petition and process on July 18, 2016, at her residence located at 2103 North 23rd West Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma by certified mail.

1.4 Simultaneously with the filing of this notice of removal, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is the Index of State Court Documents that clearly identifies each document and indicates the date the document was filed in state court. Attached as Exhibit "B" is a copy of the docket sheet and all documents filed in the state court action are attached as Exhibits "B-1" through Exhibit "B-11" as identified on the Index of State Court Documents.

II.

BASIS FOR REMOVAL

2.1 Defendants file this notice of removal within 30 days of receiving Plaintiffs' Original Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1446(b). This Notice of Removal is being filed within one year of the commencement of this action. *See id.*

2.2 Removal is proper based upon diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(1), 1441(a), and 1446.

A. THE PARTIES ARE OF DIVERSE CITIZENSHIP.

2.3 Plaintiffs are, and were at the time the lawsuit was filed, natural persons and residents of Dallas County in the State of Texas and thus, are citizens of Texas. *See* Plaintiffs' Original Petition, ¶ 2. On information and belief, Plaintiffs intend to continue residing in Texas

and are thus domiciled in Texas. See *Hollinger v. Home State Mut. Ins. Co.*, 654 F.3d 564, 571 (5th Cir. 2011) (evidence of a person's place of residence is prima facie proof of his state of domicile, which presumptively continues unless rebutted with sufficient evidence of change).

2.4 Defendant Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in Illinois and is a citizen of the State of Illinois for diversity purposes, and therefore, complete diversity exists.

2.5 Defendant Johnson is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a citizen of the State of Oklahoma. See Plaintiffs' Original Petition, ¶ 4.

B. THE AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS THE JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION.

2.6 In determining the amount in controversy, the court may consider "policy limits... penalties, statutory damages, and punitive damages." *St. Paul Reinsurance Co., Ltd. v. Greenberg*, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998); see *Ray v. State Farm Lloyds*, No. CIV.A.3:98-CV-1288-G, 1999 WL 151667, at * 2-3 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 10, 1999) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy in plaintiff's case against their insurance company for breach of contract, fraud, negligence, gross negligence, bad faith, violations of the Texas Insurance Code, violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and mental anguish); *Fairmont Travel, Inc. v. George S. May Int'l Co.*, 75 F. Supp.2d 666, 668 (S.D. Tex. 1999) (considering DTPA claims and the potential for recovery of punitive damages for the amount in controversy determination); *Chittick v. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 844 F. Supp. 1153, 1155 (S.D. Tex. 1994) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy after considering the nature of the claims, the types of damages sought and the presumed net worth of the defendant in a claim brought by the insureds against their insurance company for actual and punitive damages arising from a claim they made for roof damages).

2.7 This is a civil action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are liable under a residential insurance policy because Plaintiffs made a claim under that policy and Defendants wrongfully adjusted and denied Plaintiffs' claim.

2.8 Plaintiffs have specifically pled that they are seeking monetary relief over \$200,000, but not more than \$1,000,000. *See* Plaintiffs' Original Petition, ¶ 6. This evidence clearly demonstrates that the amount in controversy in this case exceeds the jurisdictional requirements.

III. THE REMOVAL IS PROCEDURALLY CORRECT

3.1 Defendant Allstate was served with Plaintiffs' Original Petition and process on July 18, 2016. Further, Defendant Johnson was also served with Plaintiffs' Original Petition and process on July 18, 2016. This notice of removal is filed within the 30-day time period required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

3.2 Venue is proper in this District and Division under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) because this District and Division include the county in which the state action has been pending and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims allegedly occurred in this District and Division.

3.3 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), all pleadings, process, orders, and all other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice.

3.4 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), promptly after Defendants file this Notice, written notice of the filing will be given to Plaintiffs, the adverse party.

3.5 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Dallas County District Court, promptly after Defendants file this Notice.

**IV.
CONCLUSION**

4.1 Based upon the foregoing, the exhibits submitted in support of this Removal and other documents filed contemporaneously with this Notice of Removal and fully incorporated herein by reference, Defendants Allstate Fire And Casualty Insurance Company and Tina Johnson hereby remove this case to this Court for trial and determination.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Vanessa A. Rosa

Vanessa A. Rosa State Bar No. 24081769

vrosa@thompsoncoe.com

Roger D. Higgins State Bar No. 09601500

rhiggins@thompsoncoe.com

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

700 North Pearl Street, 25th Floor

Dallas, Texas 75201

214-871-8200 – Telephone

214-871-8209 – Fax

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on August 17, 2016, a copy of this document was served to all Counsel of Record in accordance with the Federal Rules of Procedure:

Ryan K. Haun
HAUN LAW FIRM, P.C.
1201 Shepherd Drive
Houston, Texas 77007
ryan@haunlawfirm.com

/s/ Vanessa A. Rosa

Vanessa A. Rosa